

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/625,514	07/24/2003	Bong-seog Song	1293.1886	9018	
21171 7550 03/10/2010 STAAS & HALSEY LLP			EXAMINER		
SUITE 700			RODRIGUEZ, LENNIN R		
1201 NEW YO WASHINGTO	ORK AVENUE, N.W. ON DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	71, DC 2000		2625		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/10/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/625,514	SONG, BONG-SEOG		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	LENNIN R. RODRIGUEZ	2625		

	LENNIN R. RODRIGUEZ	2625						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 18 February 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.						
1. So The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailine	date of the final rejection.							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later, no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: 19 box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (by MEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN T							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(00(-) ! !!						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	diance with 37 CER 41 37 must be	filed within two month	of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	e appeal. Since a					
AMENDMENTS								
 The proposed amendment(s) flied after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 								
 They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 			ne issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s)would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•						
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- ticed by the proposed amendment of the proposed ame		I be entered and an e	xplanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.2.4.11-15.19-27.29 and 30</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	t before or on the date of fling a bla	tion of Annual will not	be entered					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:								
/Mark K Zimmerman/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625								

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument regarding "Fuller does not discuss or suggest storing an SMS short message to a memory location to be printed at a later time" has been fully considered; in response examiner would like to point out that since fuller is storing a message (without being focused at what kind of message) to a memory location. The issue of this message being a SMS message has been addressed with prior art Wemer, where is been deviated and an SMS message is handle by receiving it at a facsimile machine, if this message requires "special" handling it is not in the scope of the claims as presented in the orresent application.

Applicant's argument regarding "Fuller specifically discusses notifying a user that a facsimile messages has been received and placed in a memory location. However, this therefore teaches away from storing received and displayed SMS short message. In Fuller, the user sets up the operation of incoming messages so that the incoming messages, og directly to memory for later retrieval" has been fully considered; in response examiner would like to point out that displaying the sms message has been previously addressed with respect to Werner parararah (DQDI).

Applicant's argument regarding "Fuller does not suggest that the user is able to analyze whether to store, print or delete received short messages such that an area occupied by the SMS short messages in a memory unit can be infinitized has been fully considered; in response examiner would like to point out that this particular limitation is not a part of the scope of the claims therefore does not need to be taught by the cleid references.